

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,713	12/07/2001	Ryoichi Mukai	0671.66045	5134
24978 75	90 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			RICKMAN, HOLLY C	
300 S WACKER DR				
25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606		1773	•
300 S WACKER DR			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/008,713	MUKAI, RYOICHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Holly Rickman	1773			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 O	ctober 2004 and 26 August 2004				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 2,10,12 and 15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,10,12,15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	ut(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/26/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The rejection of claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kubota et al. (English translation of JP 64-79919) is withdrawn in view of the cancellation of claim 14.
- 4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubota et al. (English translation of JP 64-79919).

Kubota et al. disclose a method of making a magnetic recording medium by laminating a non-magnetic Cr underlayer and a magnetic layer having Co alloy magnetic layer on a substrate followed by annealing such that Cr is diffused from the underlayer into the crystal grain

Application/Control Number: 10/008,713 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

boundaries of the magnetic (see page 5 and Ex. 1). The reference discloses ten alloys which may be used for the Co based magnetic layer including CoPt (see p. 7, first full paragraph). It is the Examiner's contention that the group of disclosed alloys is small enough that one of ordinary skill in the art would immediately envisage an embodiment of the invention using CoPt.

With respect to the limitation of claim 14 requiring that no bias voltage be applied to the substrate, it is noted that the reference does not describe the application of a bias voltage to the substrate in making the recording medium disclosed therein. The Examiner contends that it is not necessary that the applied reference specifically point out the absence of a feature in order to anticipate this claim limitation. Furthermore, it is noted that the claim limitation requiring sputtering at a bias voltage of 0V has been interpreted to mean that no bias voltage is applied. Thus, the absence of a bias voltage application step in Kubota et al. is sufficient to anticipate this negative limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubota et al. (English translation of JP 64-79919).

Kubota et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a substrate a non-magnetic Cr underlayer and a magnetic layer having Co magnetic grains with non-magnetic Cr grain boundaries (see page 5 and Ex. 1). In example 1, the thickness of the Cr underlayer is 500 Å (i.e., 50 nm). The reference is silent with respect to the particular amount of Cr that is present in the Co magnetic layer. However, the reference does teach that Cr is diffused from the underlayer into the crystal grain boundaries of the magnetic layer thereby improving coercive force by reducing the size of each "magnetic zone" (see p. 6, lines 1-2).

The Examiner contends that while the reference does not specifically state that the amount of Cr diffused into the grain boundaries affects the coercivity of the medium by directly reducing the size of each "magnetic zone", this fact would have been clear to one of ordinary skill in the art. If a very small percentage of Cr was added to the grain boundaries of the magnetic layer, the magnetic grains would not be fully decoupled. Thus, the size of the magnetic zones would include multiple grains. If an optimal amount of Cr is added to the grain boundaries, the magnetic grains can be fully isolated thereby creating single grain magnetic zones.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to determine the optimal amount of non-magnetic Cr to add to the Co magnetic layer in order to achieve optimal coercivity. Such an optimization would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 10/008,713 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 8/26/04 and 10/1/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth in the italicized paragraphs set forth in sections 3 and 5, above.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

December 23, 2004